1. Qualifications

For Online Courses

In addition to meeting the general faculty qualification requirements, online instructors and facilitators will need to:

- Demonstrate that they have training in the development, delivery, and assessment of online courses. Examples of how this may be demonstrated include, but are not limited to:
  - successful completion of Michigan Tech’s “Foundations of Online Teaching” course with the grade of a ‘B’ or better, OR
  - completion of an equivalent online teaching certification, training class, or program, OR
  - evidence of an equivalent combination of education and experience of teaching well designed online courses that met similar, or more rigorous, standards
    - experience may be demonstrated by evidence such as, but not limited to: demonstrating that previously taught online courses meet adopted standards, having taught courses certified to meet well known standards at another institution with similar policies, or having received commendations for online teaching.
- AND, show proficiency in operating the course learning management system (LMS). Examples of how this may be demonstrated include, but are not limited to:
  - successful completion of eLearning’s Canvas Introductory Workshop series, OR
  - evidence of an equivalent combination of training and/or experience sufficient to provide adequate skill using the course learning management system as a teacher.

For Remote Courses

In addition to meeting the general faculty qualification requirements, remote instructors and facilitators will need to either be a qualified online instructor or complete a training program hosted by the CTL (or otherwise approved equivalent training) focusing on general proficiency with current university-supported:

1. Synchronous conferencing solution
2. LMS
3. Video platform
Alternative Credentials

Instructors who believe they have achieved the qualifications (detailed above) for online or remote instruction can submit their credentials to the provost's office. Final determination of qualifications will be completed by the provost's office in consultation with the CTL. A list of approved equivalent trainings/courses that meet the requirements of this policy (no further review required) will be available on the CTL website. The provost's office will maintain a list of instructors approved to teach an online course at Michigan Tech.

2. Review of Online Courses

Online Course review is intended to be an iterative, continuous improvement process. Reviews are intended to help faculty move their courses towards what is considered best practice and the initial review may indicate the course does not meet minimum standards. As long as faculty are engaged in the process and are working towards review completion (see 2.4) initiating the review before the deadlines specified is sufficient to meet the requirements outlined below.

2.1 Faculty Support

Upon request, direct assistance with course development or modification will be available from the CTL and trained peer reviewers. A rubric outlining the adopted standards will also be made available to assist with online course development.

2.2 Review Timing

Peer review for new and existing online courses will occur as outlined below:

- NEW online courses (those developed or transitioned to online delivery after the approval of this policy and procedure) will submit a plan for review to the provost's office as part of the course creation process or as soon as it is known a traditional course will be transitioned to online. Course review should be initiated before the course is offered a second time.

- For EXISTING online courses (those where development and initial offering predate the approval of this policy and procedure) the academic unit will submit a plan for getting all online courses reviewed to the provost’s office for approval before the start of Fall Semester 2020. Course review within the unit should be initiated within 18 months of policy approval.

Once a course review is completed (see 2.4 below), the course should be reviewed again every three years or if substantial changes have been made.

Reviews may be initiated at any time at the request of the instructor.
2.3 Peer Reviewer Selection

Reviews will be conducted, in cooperation with the course instructor and/or designer, by two peer reviewers appointed by the department chair or dean with input from the instructor/designer. A list of eligible reviewers will be available on the CTL website.

2.4 Review Completion

Reviews are intended to be iterative with open dialog between the instructor/designer and review team, especially after the initial standards rubric evaluation and as modifications are made.

Online course review will be considered complete when standards have been met as determined by peer reviewers.

In the case where the instructor declines to implement the recommended revisions needed to meet the minimum standards, the review will be considered finished but the course will be determined to have not met minimum standards.

3. Use of Online Course Reviews

Peer reviews are conducted to provide feedback on how course design can be improved for online delivery. Copies of the review rubric, in addition to any written comments from the peer reviewers, will be provided to the instructor/designer (whoever is most directly responsible for course design) of the course being reviewed.

Upon completion of the review (when either the minimum standards have been met or the instructor declines to implement the recommended revisions to meet minimum standards), the review team will report whether the course met, or did not meet, minimum standards to the provost’s office and to the department chair or school dean.

In the case that a review finishes and the course does not meet minimum standards, copies of the review rubric and written comments will additionally be provided by the review team to the provost’s office so materials can be used to inform the next review. Materials will not be collected nor kept by the provost’s office when courses are determined to meet or surpass standards.

Any review conducted under this policy is strictly about the course and is not about assessing faculty. Results of course peer review as outlined in this policy and supporting procedure must not be used in an attempt to evaluate teaching effectiveness, nor be used in any part of the promotion and tenure process.