

The University Senate of Michigan Technological University

Proposal 26-25

(Voting Units: Full Senate)

Proposed Changes to Policy 109.1

Policy revision Rationale:

- Following up on 2023-2024 AI Working Group's recommendation in their final report, this policy update proposal adds language to our Academic Integrity Policy to account for generative AI technologies.
- To update the policy to account for the increase in use of generative artificial intelligence technologies. The addition specifically identifies use that is prohibited by an instructor as academic integrity violation. Additional edits remove parenthetical references to outside sources as there is no accompanying bibliography and specific in-text references are inappropriate for policy documents.

University Senate Policy 109.1

The University Senate of Michigan Technological University

ACADEMIC INTEGRITY POLICY

([Proposal 28-04](#))

([Proposal 26-05](#))

([Proposal 8-06](#))

([Proposal 1-10](#))

([Proposal 48-22](#))

Senate Policy 109.1

[Coordinating Procedure 109.1.1](#)

Academic integrity is the moral code and ethical policy of scholarly work. It requires the adoption of educational values and the maintenance of academic standards. Academic integrity and honesty are central components of a student's education, and the ethical conduct maintained in an academic context will be taken eventually into a student's professional career. Academic integrity is essential in a community of scholars searching and learning to search for truth. Anything less than total commitment to integrity undermines the efforts of the entire academic community. Both students and faculty are responsible for ~~insuring~~[ensuring](#) the academic integrity of the university.

This policy applies to the academic conduct of all persons who have ever matriculated at Michigan Technological University, ~~whether or not~~[whether](#) the person is enrolled at the time an allegation of academic misconduct is made.

This policy addresses academic misconduct in course work. Allegations of misconduct in research or publication are addressed under Misconduct in Research, Scholarly and Creative Endeavors Policy (Policy 204.1).

Procedures to ensure fairness and due process for all parties involved in any apparent violation of the Academic Integrity Policy have been developed, and will be reviewed every five years by the Office of Academic and Community Conduct in consultation with the Graduate School and University Conduct Board.

I. Definition of Academic Misconduct.

Michigan Tech defines academic misconduct as any attempt to create or assist in creating an unfair advantage for an individual or an unfair disadvantage for other members of the university community.

II. Types of Academic Misconduct

Plagiarism: Copying another's work or ideas and calling them one's own or not giving proper credit or citation. This includes but is not limited to reading or hearing another's work or ideas and using them as one's own; quoting, paraphrasing, or condensing another's work without giving proper credit; purchasing or receiving another's work and using, handling, or submitting it as one's own work.

Cheating: Unauthorized use of any study aids, equipment, or another's work during an academic exercise. This includes but is not limited to unauthorized use of notes, study aids, electronic or other equipment during an examination; copying or looking at another individual's examination; taking or passing information to another individual during an examination; taking an examination for another individual; allowing another individual to take one's examination; stealing examinations. Cheating also includes unauthorized collaboration. All graded academic exercises are expected to be performed on an individual basis unless otherwise stated by the instructor. An academic exercise may not be submitted by a student for course credit in more than one course without the permission of all instructors. [i.e. self-plagiarism]. [Use of generative artificial intelligence technologies \(GAI\) in ways that are prohibited by the instructor or that do not comport with university policy 1.20: Use of Generative Artificial Intelligence Tools will also be regarded as an academic integrity violation.](#)

Contract Cheating: The outsourcing of student work to third parties (~~Lancaster and Clarke, 2016, p.39~~). Third parties may include but are not limited to family and friends; academic custom writing sites; legitimate learning sites (e.g., file sharing, discussion, and micro-tutoring sites); legitimate non-learning sites (e.g., freelancing sites and online audio sites); paid exam takers; and pre-written essay banks (~~Ellis, Zucker, and Randall, 2018, p.2~~). Most online help sites have honor codes and/or copyright policies. Students should ask their professors whether or not they (students) are authorized to use online help sites. Students should only upload content to these websites that they have made or are otherwise authorized to post.

Fabrication: Intentional and/or unauthorized invention or alteration of any information or citation during an academic exercise. This includes but is not limited to the unauthorized changing or adding an answer on an examination and resubmitting it to change the grade; inventing data for a laboratory exercise or report.

Facilitating Academic Misconduct: Allowing or helping another individual to plagiarize, cheat, or fabricate information.

III. Sanctions under the Academic Integrity Policy. These sanctions are listed in increasing order of severity.

Sanctions under the Academic Integrity Policy will be applied according to the Academic Integrity Procedures.

History:

Proposal 28-04:

Adopted by Senate: 7 April 2004

Approved by President: 22 April 2004

Proposal 26-05:

20 April 2005: Adopted by the Senate

Proposal 8-06:

Introduced in Senate: 18 January 2006

Revised: 1 February 2006 and 15 February 2006

Adopted by the Senate: 15 February 2006

Approved by Administration: 6 April 2006

Proposal 1-10:

Introduced to Senate: 09 September 2009

Tabled and returned to committee: 23 September 2009

Approved by Senate: 07 October 2009

Approved by Administration: 19 October 2009

Proposal 48-22:

Introduced to Senate: 6 April 2022

Approved by Senate: 20 April 2022

Approved by Administration: 22 April 2022