SABBATTICAL LEAVE COMMITTEE

REPORT TO THE SENATE

October 25, 2017

by

J. R. Wood (for Mary Carol Friedrich, Chair)
SABBATICAL LEAVE COMMITTEE
(University Standing Committee)

Reports to: President
Charge: Review and make recommendations to the president on all applications for sabbatical leave.
Membership: Faculty appointed by the president, with advice of the University Senate.

Source: https://www.admin.mtu.edu/admin/committe/members1.htm
# SABATTICAL LEAVE COMMITTEE: 2017-18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>DEPARTMENT</th>
<th>TERM EXPIRES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chair: Mary Carol Friedrich (Senate)</td>
<td>Visual &amp; Performing Arts</td>
<td>06/30/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Wood (Senate)</td>
<td>Geological &amp; Mining Engineering &amp; Sciences</td>
<td>06/30/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seong-Young Lee (Senate)</td>
<td>Mechanical Engineering-Engineering Mechanics</td>
<td>06/30/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shari Stockero (Senate)</td>
<td>Cognitive and Learning Sciences</td>
<td>06/30/2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blair Orr (Senate)</td>
<td>School of Forest Resources and Environmental Science</td>
<td>06/30/2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The current committee can be found here: [https://www.admin.mtu.edu/admin/committe/members1.htm](https://www.admin.mtu.edu/admin/committe/members1.htm)
Data for Sabbatical Proposals 2017-18
(provided by MCF)

TOTAL – 25 approved, 0 disapproved

COLLEGE
    College of Engineering - 6
    College of Sciences & Arts - 12 + 1 delayed from previous year
    COE & CSA - 1 split
    School of Technology - 1
    School of Business - 3
    School of Forestry - 1

LOCATIONS (actual # in parentheses in case of confidentiality concerns)
    Foreign - 48% (12)
    USA - 36% (9)
    USA/MTU - 1% (2)
    MTU - 1% (2)

DURATION
    Fall '17 - 1
    Spring '18 - 11
    Academic Year - 13

REPORTS
    2015-16 leave reports - 14 of 20
    2016-17 leave reports - not due yet
OBSERVATIONS
(Sabbatical Leave Committee Chair)

• Sabbatical leave requests initially submitted to the Sabbatical Leave Committee Chair with incomplete/inaccurate information: 36%

• Applicants who unsuccessfully argued why the request did not need to be complete/accurate: 1%

• Quality of reports range from extremely detailed and annotated multi-page reports with evidence of completed work to a few sentences in the body of an email.

• Submitting report in Digital Measures does not seem to affect the quality.
QUESTIONS?