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MINUTES OF THE FORMAL SESSION OF THE BOARD OF CONTROL OF
MICHIGAN TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY held pursuant to due call in Ballroom B of
the Memorial Union Building on the campus of Michigan Technological University in the
City of Houghton, Michigan at eight thirty o’clock on the morning of February 24, 2011.

The Board of Control of Michigan Technological University met in formal session at the
University’s campus at Michigan Technological University in the City of Houghton, State of
Michigan, at 8:30 a.m., on the 24th day of February, 2011, in Ballroom B of the Memorial
Union Building. The place, hour, and date duly established and duly published for the
holding of such a meeting.

The meeting was called to order by the Chair, M. Richardson, and a quorum was declared
present.

The following members of the Board of Control were present:

   M. K. Richardson, Chair
   S. J. Hicks, Vice Chair
   L. D. Ashford
   T. L. Baldini
   K. I. Clark (via telephone)
   J. A. Frem
   P. G. Ollila
   T. J. Woychowski
   G. D. Mroz, ex officio

The following members were absent:

   None

Also present during part or all of the session were: Dale R. Tahtinen, Secretary of the Board
and Vice President for Governmental Relations; Daniel D. Greenlee, Treasurer and Chief
Financial Officer; George Butvilas, Chair of the Michigan Tech Fund; Max Seel, Provost and
Vice President for Academic Affairs; David D. Reed, Vice President for Research; Shea
McGrew, Vice President of Advancement and Marketing; Ellen Horsch, Vice President for
Administration; Paul Tomasi, University Counsel; and various members of the faculty,
administrative staff, student body, press and public.

Where item numbers are used, they refer to corresponding item numbers in the agenda, in the
hands of the Board members.
I. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

It was moved by S. Hicks, supported by, P. Ollila, and passed by voice vote without dissent, that the agenda of the formal session of February 24, 2011, as distributed to the Board, be approved.

II. OPENING REMARKS

Chair’s Comments

Good morning, and welcome to our first meeting in 2011.

It gives me great pleasure to welcome two new Board members, Julie Fream and Terry Woychowski.

Julie graduated from Michigan Tech with a B.S. in Chemical Engineering and went on to earn an MBA from Harvard Business School, and is Vice President of Visteon’s North America customer group, global strategy and communications.

Prior to her current position, Julie served as Visteon’s Vice President of the Renault-Nissan and DaimlerChrysler North American customer business groups, where she led efforts to develop and implement strategies to strengthen business operations in North America. Her previous Visteon leadership roles included vice president of marketing as well as director of Ford sales in North America.

Julie continues to have strong ties to Michigan Tech, and is a member of the McNair Society and the Second Century Society. She has also received the Alumni Association’s Outstanding Young Alumnus Award and the Distinguished Service Award. Julie has served on the Alumni Association Board of Directors and the Michigan Tech Fund Board of Trustees.

Terry graduated from Michigan Tech with a B.S. in Mechanical Engineering. He then pursued post graduate studies at Wayne State in pre-med before he completed the Global Executive Development Program at Duke University School of Business, and in 2003 he received an Honorary Doctorate in Business Management from Indiana Wesleyan University.

In 1982, Terry joined GM as a development engineer in Milford. In 1985 he left GM to become a department head and mechanical engineering professor at Pensacola Christian College, and in 1987 he returned to GM. Terry has risen through the ranks and is currently GM’s Vice President of Global Vehicle Program Management and is responsible for the execution of GM cars and trucks globally. In 2008, Terry was named to the Board of Directors for the Engineering Society of Detroit. He was recently voted President Elect to the board, and has also become Chairman for the Young Engineers Committee.
Terry continues to stay active with his alma mater, as he is General Motors key executive liaison with Michigan Tech, a member of the College of Engineering's External Advisory Board, and was inducted into the ME-EM Academy in 2007.

We are very pleased to have Julie and Terry on the Board. With their knowledge and continued involvement with Michigan Tech they will be able to hit the ground running, as we look forward to a very productive year.

I would also like to welcome George Butvilas, Chair of the Michigan Tech Fund, and thank him for taking the time to be with us today.

As many of you know, the Board and senior administration have been in a retreat the last few days focusing on where Michigan Tech should be in 2035 and how our strategic plan can provide the mechanism to achieve the outlying goals.

President Mroz kicked off the retreat by reviewing the strategic planning process, including how we express our vision, what is our strategic plan for achieving our vision, and how we will measure our success.

The retreat was energizing and we are looking forward to meeting the challenges that lay ahead. Although we are experiencing difficult economic times, we are confident that by continuing to follow our strategic plan we will be able to weather the storm and come out a better university.

I wanted to formally announce some changes that have taken place in the Board committees. The Academic Affairs Committee will continue to be chaired by Lenora Ashford, and its members include Kathy Clark, Paul Ollia and Terry Woychowski. Steve Hicks will continue as Chair of the Finance and Audit Committee and the membership of that Committee is comprised of Tom Baldini, Julie Fream and myself.

In addition, Paul Ollia will replace Russ Gronevelt on the Classified Contracts and Information Executive Committee which was established to comply with Department of Defense requirements regarding classified information and is part of Board of Control Policy 12.8. Security Clearance.

I want to thank the Board members for their dedication and willingness to serve on these committees, and I look forward to a very productive year.

Before turning it over to President Mroz, I would like to extend our congratulations to the students in the Aerospace Enterprise for taking first place in the prestigious University Nanosat 6 Competition, earning the rare privilege of having the Department of Defense launch their custom-made satellite into orbit.

The University Nanosat Program is sponsored by the Air Force Research Laboratory, which handpicked the 11 teams from dozens of universities across the nation through a competitive selection process. Each of the 11 teams was then awarded a two-year contract to design and build a satellite to perform a mission of its choosing. The program culminated with a flight competition review, held Jan. 16-17 in Albuquerque, New Mexico, adjacent to Kirtland Air
Force Base. By winning the competition, Michigan Tech received a contract to continue development of the satellite and have it launched into orbit aboard a DOD rocket.

I would also like to mention that Samantha Neirby, who is finishing her chemical engineering degree this spring, has been recruited by the U.S. Navy to train and serve on a nuclear submarine. Samantha will be just the third woman Naval officer ever recruited from a college or university to serve on a submarine.

Samantha passed the final hurdle—an interview with Admiral Kirkland H. Donald, director of Naval nuclear propulsion and deputy administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration—in Washington, D.C. last week. With the Navy’s stamp of approval, after she graduates from Michigan Tech in April, she will go to the Navy’s Officer Candidate School in Newport, Rhode Island, where she will be commissioned upon graduation. Then she will enter a one-year nuclear power training program in Goose Creek, South Carolina. Our congratulations to Samantha on this outstanding achievement.

President’s Comments

I also want to thank Julie and Terry and all the Board members for stepping up at a point in Michigan Tech history that at best can be described as challenging.

Together with the Executive Team and others, we completed our annual retreat yesterday. We reviewed the goals of the strategic plan; the vision of Michigan Tech for 2035; had a lively discussion on the SWOT analysis on the plan; the metrics for progress on the plan; the marketing and communications and recruiting strategies for achieving that plan with a unified brand strategy; and the alignment of the budget with the priorities of the plan.

In particular, we reviewed the approached described in the Open Forum this week that we will be taking over the next several months to develop a budget that meets the Governor’s budget for Michigan with a close eye to any changes that the Legislature might suggest.

Some major items of progress toward the plan include applications at both the graduate and undergraduate level being on-track for next year with increases in graduate and female students; increases in female faculty to 26% of the tenured and tenure track faculty; and research expenditures that will approach $70 million.

Our Generation of Discovery Campaign, which kicked off the public phase in October, is at $140 million as of the end of January, which is $30 million higher than the same time last year. Notable successes include raising $8 million for scholarships and increasing the number of chairs and professorships from 3 to 16, as well as many improvement projects to accommodate growth.

While I think we are all uneasy about the near term future in Michigan for all the reasons you see in the press, I think it’s critical for us all to remember that our competition is global, and we need to keep our sights set on that simple fact, and to continue to accelerate the things that Michigan Tech does better than anyone else in the world.
III. COMMITTEE REPORTS

Academic Affairs Committee Report

Mr. Ollila reported that on Tuesday afternoon, the Academic Affairs Committee met. Airline troubles prohibited the timely arrival of our chair Lenora, so I am giving this report. We welcomed our newest member, Terry Woychowski, to his first meeting.

First on the agenda as informational item was an update on program name changes and the introduction of two new minors.

*Media* has become an integral part of the communication and culture studies program in the department of Humanities, so it was proposed and approved by the senate to change the name of the program from “Communication and Culture Studies” to “Communication, Culture, and Media.”

The department of Biological Sciences changed an existing concentration into a Minor in “Fish Biology.” The School of Technology introduced a minor in “Data Acquisition and Industrial Control” to give students the opportunity to learn and experience hands-on practice of data acquisition software and control systems. Since all required and elective courses for these minors are existing courses and are being taught on a regular basis, no additional costs are incurred.

The Provost then gave an update on academic quality improvement projects and accreditation. First, academic advising and its relation to increase retention rates were discussed. The next topic was the work of the general education council and assessment council. We had a lively discussion about common and shared learning objectives for our undergraduate students. The learning goals need to be consistent with the expectation of our accreditation bodies, with the broader aims of lifelong learning, and the skills of integration, collaboration, initiative, and reflection. These goals will be used to develop a university-wide assessment of student learning which is important for university accreditation by the Higher Learning Commission.

The Provost then discussed the academic quality improvement project of increasing female enrollment at Michigan Tech. The Academic Affairs Committee agrees wholeheartedly that it is strategic, it’s the right thing to do, it will improve the quality of education, and it’s good business. A new improved one page summary was presented instead of the more lengthy draft in the hand-out sent to you.

The last topic was a continuation of last meeting’s discussion about metrics. The Governor’s expectation for his dashboard is to tell everyone

".....how the state performs in areas that affect you and your family."

The current indicators used are *college readiness*, that is, average ACT score, and *percent of population with bachelor’s degree.*

The State performance is different than an individual university’s performance. Examples of measuring a university’s performance are *first year retention rate* and *six-year graduation rate* to measure the teaching effectiveness. It was agreed that the more expensive STEM
nature of our courses and degrees needs to be taken into account. To measure the research mission, research expenditures per faculty could be used. And to measure employability of university graduates, median starting pay for graduates has been proposed. It is safe to say that the discussion about dashboards, performance indicators and metrics will continue.

Mr. Woychowski added that relative to the minor in fish biology, there were some statements that had been made earlier regarding the Great Lakes Research Center and some implications that it wouldn’t have any fish or plant focus, and then we have the fish minor that was discussed here. We need to make sure there is integration, and we are not giving two different indications. Along the lines of advising, the Provost explained quite an extensive matrix of advising throughout the university and its many elements, but when you look at it from an organizational perspective it looks quite confusing. Also, I received personally some phone calls from a parent of a student who was struggling and I was trying to advise this parent on how she might best be able to advise her child. It was a very good conversation and it did lead to the conversation we had relative to the advising structure and is it understandable by the student. We had an opportunity this morning with the breakfast, and when asked do you know who your advisors are and how to access them, it was a resounding yes. It is a system that obviously works well, as long as their advisor is able to help them navigate throughout the matrix of advising making sure that once they enter into this process they get to the most helpful areas.

Finance and Audit Committee Report

Mr. Hicks provided the Board with the following report.

Mr. Hicks reiterated what President Mroz said, it is all about focus. The Finance Committee for the last three years has focused its time on making sure of financial prudence and aligning the strategic plan for the proper financial resources. The Committee looked at not only on the three key elements of the strategic plan, but a balanced budget and affordable tuition. The Committee has spent a lot of time over the last three years aligning our budget parameters and targets so we spend our time very efficiently, including a five year outlook of various scenarios based on what could happen in the world that we live in from a best case to a worst case. There has been a lot of foundation building in the last three years, and the Executive Team and the Finance Committee should be applauded for their focus on how to handle the situation.

The current situation, which has been articulated, is that the State of Michigan’s appropriation to Michigan Tech is projected to decline from about $47 million to $37 million, with a possible increase of $3.5 million if tuition stays at or below a 7% increase. The Committee has spent a lot of its time on the financial resources of the university to align them with the strategic plan for the FY12 budget, and on the long term five year plan to align our resources with the strategic plan as well.

The Finance Committee has met several times since the last meeting in December to discuss various items. Yesterday, we met specifically on the budget and the financial resources for FY12, which the Committee will have a recommendation to the whole Board at the April meeting. In addition, between the meetings we had focused on FY12 room and board rates. The Committee supports the recommendation to approve a very low and modest increase in
room and board rates for FY12. The Committee also supports the Merit Resolution, the Capital Expenditure for a Turbulent Aerosol-Cloud Chamber, and the Conveyance of Property to MDOT.

I want to applaud the Committee and the Executive Team, not only for our focus just recently, but over the last three years, in preparing for not only for the future with our strategic plan but preparing for a situation as we face today. It was done very well, and it’s very focused.

Ms. Ashford added that from the Academic side, I really want to commend the Finance Committee and the Execute Team. We could be running around like Chicken Little right now yelling that the sky is falling, and the fact that we are not is a testament to the thinking and planning that has been occurring. I just don’t want it overlooked that the proactiveness of the Finance Committee and the Executive Team has positioned us to weather this storm, and more importantly not lose momentum in our academic focus. I just want to add my Chair thank you, my Board thank you, and my personal thank you for a job well done.

Mr. Woychowski asked that as the budget continues to refine its final position, from a risk management perspective we should look at the impact that increased oil prices may have in our planning. I would probably bound that risk by $120 a barrel to a $150 a barrel, and then ask what would the impact on the operating costs be, as well as any other detrimental effects or balance effects that would need to be done with respect to the overall budget. Mr. Hicks added that there are two dynamics to that situation. One is the short term, and what will that do to the FY12 financial plan, but then what is the long term. Where is the position in energy as a cost to the nation, and how we are looking at energy efficiency projects across campus?

Mr. Greenlee provided the Board with the following report:
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Balance Sheet
Condensed Statement of Net Assets
as of December 31, 2010

ASSETS
Current Assets $ 72,980,451
Noncurrent Assets:
Capital Assets, net 246,603,410
Other Noncurrent Assets 20,967,645
TOTAL ASSETS $ 340,613,506

LIABILITIES
Current Liabilities $ 60,729,808
Noncurrent Liabilities 83,797,945
TOTAL LIABILITIES $ 154,527,753

NET ASSETS
Investments in capital assets, net of related debt $ 164,931,850
Other net assets, restricted and unrestricted 23,163,483
TOTAL NET ASSETS $ 188,095,333

Current Fund FY11
(In Thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Original</th>
<th>2nd Qtr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Protection</td>
<td>Protection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue</td>
<td>245,429</td>
<td>245,444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expense</td>
<td>(245,218)</td>
<td>(246,155)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Income</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>(671)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Fund Balance</td>
<td>15,524</td>
<td>14,642</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Current Fund includes General Fund, Designated Fund, Auxiliaries, Retirement and Insurance, and the Expendable Restricted Funds.

Current Fund Balances
(In Thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Balance 06/30/09</th>
<th>Balance 06/30/10</th>
<th>Projected Balance 06/30/11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL CURRENT FUND</td>
<td>$ 15,635</td>
<td>$ 15,313</td>
<td>$ 14,642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEGALLY RESTRICTED FUNDS</td>
<td>(2,622)</td>
<td>(2,692)</td>
<td>(2,546)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNRESTRICTED FUND BALANCE</td>
<td>$ 12,813</td>
<td>$ 12,621</td>
<td>$ 11,996</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IV. CONSENT AGENDA

It was moved by J. Fream, supported by L. Ashford, and passed by voice vote without dissent, that the Board of Control approve and adopt the items contained in the Consent Agenda.

IV-A. Approval of Minutes

It was moved by J. Fream, supported by L. Ashford, and passed by voice vote without dissent, that the minutes of the formal session of December 10, 2010, as distributed to the Board, be approved.

IV-B. Gifts

It was moved by J. Fream, supported by L. Ashford, and passed by voice vote without dissent, that the Board of Control acknowledges the gifts to Michigan Technological University.
Michigan Technological University
Michigan Tech Fund
Fundraising Productivity Report
July 1, 2010 through January 31, 2011
Compared to Prior Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>FY11 YTD Total</th>
<th>% YTD</th>
<th>FY10 YTD Total</th>
<th>% YTD</th>
<th>FY10 Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individuals - Major Gifts (25K and up)</td>
<td>13,000,000</td>
<td>4,479,581</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>1,467,512</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>3,095,945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Realized Planned Gifts (Unanticipated - 25K and up)</td>
<td>1,068,073</td>
<td>1,068,073</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>1,068,073</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>1,068,073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individuals - non-Major Gifts</td>
<td>1,250,000</td>
<td>1,071,751</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>885,477</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>1,283,088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Value New Planned Gift Commitments</td>
<td>8,200,000</td>
<td>9,690,670</td>
<td>122%</td>
<td>2,581,043</td>
<td>122%</td>
<td>4,797,362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Fund</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
<td>680,394</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>926,686</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>1,480,042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporations</td>
<td>1,600,000</td>
<td>1,130,616</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>712,982</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>1,289,302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundations &amp; Other Organizations</td>
<td>400,000</td>
<td>253,475</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>30,230</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>119,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gifts-in-Kind</td>
<td>850,000</td>
<td>671,994</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>335,475</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>457,735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>27,000,000</td>
<td>19,583,347</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>7,153,834</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>13,111,672</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

- Except for the Annual Fund, all totals include outright gifts and the full amount of new pledge commitments
- Annual Fund includes cash from prior year pledges in addition to outright current year gifts and new pledge commitments due current year
- An individual's gifts given through another source (i.e. family foundation or closely held business) are credited to the individual

Michigan Technological University
Michigan Tech Fund
Gift Activity Cash Report
July 1, 2010 through January 31, 2011
Compared to Prior Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gift Type</th>
<th>FY11 YTD Total</th>
<th>FY10 YTD Total</th>
<th>$ Change from Previous Fiscal Year</th>
<th>% Change from Previous Fiscal Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash (current year)</td>
<td>5,424,114</td>
<td>3,485,544</td>
<td>1,938,571</td>
<td>55.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Realized Planned Gifts (current year)</td>
<td>1,088,444</td>
<td>160,194</td>
<td>928,250</td>
<td>577.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Year Subtotal</td>
<td>6,512,558</td>
<td>3,645,738</td>
<td>2,866,821</td>
<td>78.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash (receipts from prior year pledges)</td>
<td>818,546</td>
<td>1,714,547</td>
<td>-896,001</td>
<td>-52.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Realized Planned Gifts (previously recorded)</td>
<td>209,000</td>
<td>16,116</td>
<td>192,884</td>
<td>3,853.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from Previous Year Subtotal</td>
<td>1,423,546</td>
<td>1,727,445</td>
<td>-303,904</td>
<td>-17.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7,933,106</td>
<td>5,373,187</td>
<td>2,559,918</td>
<td>47.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV-C. Resignations, Retirements & Off Payroll

It was moved by J. Fream, supported by L. Ashford, and passed by voice vote without dissent, that the Board of Control accepts the resignations and confirms the off payroll determinations.
V. ACTION/DISCUSSION ITEMS

V-A. Honorary Degree

Norman R. Augustine was raised in Colorado and attended Princeton University where he graduated with a BSE in Aeronautical Engineering, magna cum laude, and an MSE. He was elected to Phi Beta Kappa, Tau Beta Pi and Sigma Xi.

In 1958 he joined the Douglas Aircraft Company in California where he worked as a Research Engineer, Program Manager and Chief Engineer. Beginning in 1965, he served in the Office of the Secretary of Defense as Assistant Director of Defense Research and Engineering. He joined LTV Missiles and Space Company in 1970, serving as Vice President, Advanced Programs and Marketing. In 1973 he returned to the government as Assistant Secretary of the Army and in 1975 became Under Secretary of the Army, and later Acting Secretary of the Army. Joining Martin Marietta Corporation in 1977 as Vice President of Technical Operations, he was elected as CEO in 1987 and chairman in 1988, having previously been President and COO. He served as president of Lockheed Martin Corporation upon the formation of that company in 1995, and became CEO later that year. He retired as chairman and CEO of Lockheed Martin in August 1997, at which time he became a Lecturer with the Rank of Professor on the faculty of Princeton University where he served until July 1999.

Augustine served on the President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology under Democratic and Republican presidents and led the 1990 Advisory Committee on the Future
of the U.S. Space Program and the 2005 National Academies commission that produced the landmark report, Rising Above the Gathering Storm: Energizing and Employing America for a Brighter Economic Future.

Mr. Augustine was Chairman and Principal Officer of the American Red Cross for nine years, Chairman of the National Academy of Engineering, President and Chairman of the Association of the United States Army, Chairman of the Aerospace Industries Association, and Chairman of the Defense Science Board. He is a former President of the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics and the Boy Scouts of America. He is a current or former member of the Board of Directors of ConocoPhillips, Black & Decker, Proctor & Gamble and Lockheed Martin, and was a member of the Board of Trustees of Colonial Williamsburg. He is a Regent of the University System of Maryland, Trustee Emeritus of Johns Hopkins and a former member of the Board of Trustees of Princeton and MIT. He is a member of the Advisory Board to the Department of Homeland Security, was a member of the Hart/Rudman Commission on National Security, and has served for 16 years on the President’s Council of Advisors on Science and Technology. He is a member of the American Philosophical Society and the Council on Foreign Affairs, and is a Fellow of the National Academy of Arts and Sciences and the Explorers Club.

Mr. Augustine has been presented the National Medal of Technology by the President of the United States and received the Joint Chiefs of Staff Distinguished Public Service Award. He has five times received the Department of Defense's highest civilian decoration, the Distinguished Service Medal. He is co-author of The Defense Revolution and Shakespeare In Charge and author of Augustine's Laws and Augustine's Travels. He holds 23 honorary degrees and was selected by Who's Who in America and the Library of Congress as one of "Fifty Great Americans" on the occasion of Who's Who's fiftieth anniversary. He has traveled in over 100 countries and stood on both the North and South Poles of the earth.

In addition, Dr. Augustine will give the commencement address at the April commencement ceremony.

It was moved by T. Baldini, supported by P. Ollila, and passed by voice vote without dissent, that the Board of Control approves awarding on an Honorary Doctorate of Science and Engineering to Dr. Norman Augustine.

V-B. Appointment of Nominating Committee

It was moved by J. Fream, supported by P. Ollila, and passed by voice vote without dissent, that the Board of Control appoints a Nominating Committee consisting of K. Clark, Chair, L. Ashford and T. Baldini to begin the process to elect officers for the 2011-2012 fiscal year.

V-C. Conveyance of Property to the Michigan Department of Transportation

In 1960 when what is now known as Lake Superior State University was a branch of Michigan Tech a land exchanged occurred. The Federal Government had given property to the University as part of the Sault Ste. Marie Campus with stipulations regarding its use. The
property was in the area where the International Bridge access highways were being constructed. At the request of the Federal Government, and in exchange for the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) paving several access roads within the University Campus, the Michigan Tech Board of Control agreed to transfer a 7.2039 acre parcel of property to MDOT. That transfer was approved initially at the April 8, 1960 Board of Control meeting where the President was authorized to transfer the lands in discussion. It was again approved at the August 26, 1960 meeting when the specific property description was included and the Board resolved that the lands described: "are, upon commencement of construction thereon of the aforesaid bridge approaches, transferred without cost to the jurisdiction and control of the State Highway Commissioner". The bridge approaches were constructed and remained on that land for almost 50 years; however, no deed was ever signed by the University transferring the land.

Recently, the bridge approaches were redesigned and moved slightly. This left a part of what was previously the bridge approach between the road and an adjoining municipal structure vacant. As a part of that road relocation this new green belt was to be transferred by MDOT to the City of Sault Ste. Marie. Upon doing the title work, it was discovered that the State never owned the land in the first place because Michigan Tech never signed a deed back in 1960. MDOT and the City have now requested that the University execute a deed for the property.

It was moved by S. Hicks, supported by T. Baldini, and passed by voice vote without dissent, that the Board of Control authorizes the President or Secretary to execute the Quit Claim Deed as presented herein.

QUIT CLAIM DEED
MDOT 0637 (3/97) TO THE MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF
TRANSPORTATION
This information required by P.A. 286 of 1964

The Grantors Board of Control of Michigan Technological University
1400 Townsend, Houghton, Mi. 49931

for the sum of $1.00 (One dollar and 00/100) quit-claims to the Michigan Department of Transportation, and to its successors in office and assigns, whose address is P.O. Box 30050, Lansing, MI the following described real estate situated in the City of Sault Ste. Marie, County of Chippewa, described as:

A parcel of land in the SE ¼ of the SE ¼ of Section 1, T47N, R1W, in the City of Sault Ste. Marie, Chippewa County, Michigan, bounded on the West by Sixth Street and on the South by Easterday Avenue as now established, described by commencing at the Northeast corner of Sixth Street and Easterday Avenue, thence South 89° 57’ 04” East along the North line of Easterday Avenue as now established 292.50 feet, thence North 61° 30’ 38” West 334.68 feet to a point on the East property line of Sixth Street as now established, thence North 00° 35’ 49” West along said East property line of Sixth Street 163.00 feet, thence North 40° 25’ 11” East 449.07 feet, thence to the left at a right angle which bears North 49° 34’ 49” West 28.00 feet, thence to the right at a right angle which bears North 40° 25’ 11” East 232.00 feet,
thence to the right at a right angle which bears South 49° 34’ 49” East 28.00 feet, thence to the left at a right angle which bears North 40° 25’ 11” East 527.94 feet to a point on the South property line of Sheridan Drive as now established, thence North 89° 32’ 34” West along said South property line of Sheridan Drive 373.65 feet to a point on the South property line of Eureka Street as now established, thence South 50° 48’ 26” West along said South line of Eureka Street 537.18 feet to a point on the East line of Sixth Street as now established, thence South 00° 35’ 49” East along said East line of Sixth Street 906.39 feet to the place of beginning.

Said parcel contains 7.2039 acres of land more or less.

Signed this ______ day of ____________________, 2011

BOARD OF CONTROL,
MICHIGAN TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY

_________________________
BY:
ITS:

STATE OF MICHIGAN
County of ________________

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this __________ day of ________________, 2011, by ____________________________________, the __________________________ of the Board of Control of Michigan Technological University.

_________________________, Notary Public
County, Michigan
Acting in the County of
My Commission Expires:

V-D. 2011-2012 Room and Board and Apartment Rental Rates

Dr. Cook indicated that the initial draft in December indicated a range of where we thought we would like to be as far as room and board rates and that range was anywhere from 0 to 4.41%. We ended up with the proposal today being an average 2.21% increase overall with varying rates depending upon the facility. This includes a 0% increase for Hillside Place, and an increase of 6.5% in Danielle Heights Apartments, which are oversubscribed and have a waiting list. Mr. Hicks stated that the Finance Committee is supportive of the proposed rates and would recommended passage of these rates. After several meetings with Dr. Cook and his team looking at affordability and a quality experience on campus, the Finance Committee is very comfortable with the small increases that have been proposed.
It was moved by L. Ashford, supported by J. Frem, and passed by voice vote without dissent, that the Board of Control approves the residence hall room and board, as well as apartment rental rates for 2011-2012 as presented.

### Housing and Meal Rates for 2011-2012

**Douglass Houghton Hall, McNair Hall, Wadsworth Hall**

**Occupancy Dates:** August 20, 2011 - December 17, 2011 and January 7, 2012 - April 29, 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residence Hall Room &amp; Meal Rates Regular Occupancy</th>
<th>2010-2011 Room with Gold Meal Plan</th>
<th>Proposed Increase</th>
<th>2011-2012 Room with Gold Meal Plan</th>
<th>Percent Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Douglass Houghton Hall, McNair Hall</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Occupancy (Double, Triple Quad)</td>
<td>$8,432.00</td>
<td>$166.00</td>
<td>$8,618.00</td>
<td>2.21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Occupancy</td>
<td>$9,796.00</td>
<td>$434.00</td>
<td>$10,230.00</td>
<td>4.43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Converted Occupancy (Standard plus one)</td>
<td>$7,843.00</td>
<td>$166.00</td>
<td>$8,029.00</td>
<td>2.37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deluxe Double - East McNair only</td>
<td>$8,890.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wadsworth Hall</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Occupancy (Double, Triple Quad)</td>
<td>$8,649.00</td>
<td>$217.00</td>
<td>$8,866.00</td>
<td>2.51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Occupancy</td>
<td>$10,013.00</td>
<td>$217.00</td>
<td>$10,230.00</td>
<td>2.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quad Occupancy</td>
<td>$8,842.00</td>
<td>$166.00</td>
<td>$8,618.00</td>
<td>2.21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wadsworth Hall w/ private bath</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Occupancy (Double, Triple Quad)</td>
<td>$9,331.00</td>
<td>$217.00</td>
<td>$9,548.00</td>
<td>2.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Occupancy</td>
<td>$10,912.00</td>
<td>$248.00</td>
<td>$11,160.00</td>
<td>2.27%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Residence Hall Room & Meal Rates Reduced Occupancy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residence Hall Room &amp; Meal Rates Reduced Occupancy</th>
<th>2010-2011 Room with Gold Meal Plan</th>
<th>Proposed Increase</th>
<th>2011-2012 Room with Gold Meal Plan</th>
<th>Percent Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quad to 2</td>
<td>$8,959.00</td>
<td>$217.00</td>
<td>$9,176.00</td>
<td>2.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quad to 3/Triple to 2</td>
<td>$8,618.00</td>
<td>$166.00</td>
<td>$8,804.00</td>
<td>2.16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triple to 1</td>
<td>$9,796.00</td>
<td>$434.00</td>
<td>$10,230.00</td>
<td>4.43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wads Quad to 2</td>
<td>$9,021.00</td>
<td>$217.00</td>
<td>$9,238.00</td>
<td>2.41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wads Quad to 3</td>
<td>$8,866.00</td>
<td>$217.00</td>
<td>$9,083.00</td>
<td>2.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triple Suite to 2</td>
<td>$9,703.00</td>
<td>$217.00</td>
<td>$9,920.00</td>
<td>2.24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHIT Quad Suite to 3</td>
<td>$9,703.00</td>
<td>$217.00</td>
<td>$9,920.00</td>
<td>2.24%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Dining Notes for Residence Halls:**
- Meal Plans are Unlimited Access with Dining Dollars
- Platinum Meal Plan ($300 Dining Dollars per semester) option, rates as recommended above, plus $155.00
- Gold Meal Plan ($150 Dining Dollars per semester) costs are shown above in Room and Board Rates
- Silver Meal Plan ($100 Dining Dollars) option, rates as recommended above, less $93.00

**Hillside Place Apartments**

**Occupancy Dates:** August 20, 2011 - April 29, 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regular Single Room in a Shared Apartment Unit</th>
<th>2010-2011 Room with 50 Meal Block</th>
<th>Proposed Increase</th>
<th>2011-2012 Room with 50 Meal Block</th>
<th>Percent Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single Room</td>
<td>$8,400.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$8,400.00</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Dining Notes for Hillside Place:**
- 50 Meal Block per semester - meals expire at the end of each semester
- 75 Meal Block per semester - meals expire at the end of each semester, rate as recommended above, plus $300
- Traditional residence hall meal plan is also available
- Block meal plans are only available for the Hillside Place

**Daniell Heights Apartments**

**Contract Calendar Year May 11, 2011 - May 10, 2012**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Apartment Monthly</th>
<th>2010-2011</th>
<th>$ Increase</th>
<th>2011-2012</th>
<th>% Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Student Family Rates</strong> (rates are per apartment)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Bedroom</td>
<td>$540.00</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td>$575.00</td>
<td>6.48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Bedroom</td>
<td>$600.00</td>
<td>$40.00</td>
<td>$640.00</td>
<td>6.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three Bedroom</td>
<td>$775.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$825.00</td>
<td>6.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Staff and Faculty Rates</strong> (rates are per apartment)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Bedroom</td>
<td>$640.00</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td>$700.00</td>
<td>9.38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Bedroom</td>
<td>$700.00</td>
<td>$65.00</td>
<td>$765.00</td>
<td>9.29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three Bedroom</td>
<td>$860.00</td>
<td>$80.00</td>
<td>$940.00</td>
<td>9.30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V-E. Resolution Approving an Assessment Agreement with Merit Network

It was moved by P. Ollila, supported by L. Ashford, and passed by voice vote without dissent, that the Board of Control approves the Resolution Approving an Assessment Agreement with Merit Network as presented.

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF ________________ OF ________________ UNIVERSITY
APPROVING AN ASSESSMENT AGREEMENT WITH MERIT NETWORK, INC.

WHEREAS, the Board of ________________ of ________________ University (the "Board") is a body corporate created by and existing under the Constitution of the State of Michigan with full constitutional authority over and general supervision of ________________ University (the "University") and control and direction of all expenditures from the University's funds; and

WHEREAS, Merit Network, Inc. ("Merit"), a Michigan nonprofit corporation, has been formed to promote computer resource sharing through the provisions of resources, including a high-speed research and education network within the State of Michigan for use by public universities in Michigan and other education and research groups and institutions; and

WHEREAS, the governing members of Merit are the Board and eleven other public universities in Michigan (collectively, the "Members"); and

WHEREAS, Merit has been awarded grants from the federal government in the approximate amount of $103,000,000 to be used for the construction of additional network facilities within Michigan; and

WHEREAS, in order to receive and utilize the federal grant for the purposes described above, it is necessary for Merit to borrow an amount not to exceed $8,000,000 through the issuance of a tax-exempt bond (the "Bond") by the Michigan Strategic Fund, the proceeds of which will be loaned to, and repaid with interest by, Merit; and

WHEREAS, J.P. Morgan Chase Bank (the "Bank"), has agreed to purchase the Bond, but only upon the execution of an Assessment Agreement (the "Assessment Agreement") by the Members, under which the Members agree to pay to Merit sufficient funds to pay the debt service requirements on the Bond; and

WHEREAS, the benefits to the University from its membership in Merit and from the indebtedness to be incurred by Merit and payment under the Assessment Agreement include:
• Service by Merit to its Members by providing advanced networking services on an extremely high-quality network infrastructure. As controlling Members of Merit the universities ensure themselves that they have a trusted source for network connectivity.

• As Members of Merit, the public universities in the State of Michigan have access to and control a technology network. They are their own regulators of costs and the policies on that network. Access, both in scope and capacity, is not dictated by an outside service provider. The Members have available to their facilities almost unlimited use of bandwidth. In the last six years alone, the Members have increased their utilization of the Merit infrastructure by tenfold with no increase in cost over the last five of those years.

• Merit is the only provider in Michigan allowed multiple connections to Internet2 and to other Midwest regional networks required for research purposes. Merit partners with over 20 national and international networks to provide peering relationships and a direct path to and from other networks for exchanging sensitive data. This connectivity permits Michigan-based universities to work with other institutions across the nation on research and educational projects over their own networks.

• Merit is the neutral party that allows the Members to work together on connectivity and other collaborative opportunities. It gives the Members a venue for resolving networking issues and allows all of them to leverage the benefits of a large, robust infrastructure. Merit regularly contributes to these activities staff, expertise and resources that would not necessarily be available to all Members.

• Merit provides services to the majority of the Members’ remote campuses and offices throughout the State, including hospitals, libraries, extension offices, computing centers, and research facilities. Economies of scale would be lost by having multiple service providers.

• Merit allows for a conduit of information flow between other state-funded universities across the nation that control their own networks. This enables the universities in Michigan to partake in a larger social, educational and research network of participants.

• Merit, with its own research staff, is a partner in funded network research endeavors; immediate availability to a controlled environment is paramount to network research. The Members benefit, both directly and indirectly, from the research engagements Merit enters into by fostering synergies between network researchers and operational groups across Michigan and the nation to push the boundaries of networking.

• Merit’s connections with national initiatives, other regional networking organizations, and Michigan’s educational and research communities puts the Members in a strong position to identify relevant trends, key technologies, and opportunities for learning that are shared among the Members. This interaction allows the universities to not only stay abreast of networking developments but to guide protocol on regional networks to the benefit of the universities.
• Membership in Merit provides residual interests in the assets of Merit upon its dissolution; and

WHEREAS, the Board in the exercise of its constitutional duties, has determined that the payment of a portion of Merit’s obligation with respect to the Bond through the execution and delivery by and on behalf of the Board of the Assessment Agreement as described above is in the best interests of the University;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:

1. The payment by the Board of a portion of the obligations of Merit in connection with the Bond through the execution and delivery of the Assessment Agreement is hereby authorized and approved; provided, however, that the obligations of the Board under the Assessment Agreement shall be limited obligations of the Board, payable solely from General Revenues, as defined in the trust agreements under which the Board has issued its General Revenue Bonds. As provided in the Assessment Agreement, the Board’s liabilities may be increased in the case of default by any other Member, but in no event shall the Board’s percentage of liabilities under the Assessment Agreement exceed 1.25 times of the initial percentage for the Board set forth in the Assessment Agreement.

2. The form of the Assessment Agreement on file with the Secretary of the Board is hereby approved, and the _______________________________ and the _______________________________ (each an “Authorized Officer”) or either of them individually are hereby authorized to execute and deliver the Assessment Agreement substantially in such form, with such changes not inconsistent with the terms of this Resolution as the executing officer may determine appropriate, as evidenced by his signature thereon. Each of the Authorized Officers and each other appropriate officer or representative of the Board is hereby authorized to negotiate, execute and deliver, for and on behalf of the Board, any other certificates, opinions, documents or instruments necessary to effect the purposes of this Resolution.

3. The Board finds that the value of the benefits derived and to be derived by the University from its membership in Merit and from the proceeds of the indebtedness related to the Bond exceeds the liabilities to be incurred by the Board from its execution and delivery of the Assessment Agreement.

4. All resolutions or parts of resolutions or other proceedings of the Board in conflict herewith are hereby repealed insofar as such conflict exists.

I hereby certify that the attached is a true and complete copy of a resolution adopted by the Board of __________ of __________ University on __________, ____, 201__, in accordance with applicable law, and that the minutes of the meeting at which the resolution was adopted were kept and will be or have been made available at the Office of the Secretary of the Board of __________ of __________ University.

I further certify as follows:

1. Present at the meeting were the following Board members:
Absent from the meeting were the following Board members:

2. The following members of the Board voted for the adoption of the Resolution:

The following members of the Board voted against adoption of the Resolution:

RESOLUTION DECLARED ADOPTED.

Secretary, Board of _____________ of ________________ University

V-F. Approval for a Turbulent Aerosol-Cloud Chamber

It was moved by S. Hicks, supported by L. Ashford, and passed by voice vote without dissent, that the Board of Control authorizes expenditures not to exceed $950,000 for design, fabrication, and installation of the Turbulent Aerosol-Cloud Chamber using funds from a NSF Major Research Instrumentation grant.

It was suggested that in the future agenda items that have a connection with the academic program be discussed by the Academic Affairs Committee as well.

V-G-a. 2.2. Duties and Powers of the President

It was moved by T. Baldini, supported by S. Hicks, and passed by voice vote without dissent, that the Board of Control amends policy 2.2. Duties and Powers of the President as presented herein.

The amended policy shall read as follows:
2.2. Duties and Powers of the President

The President of the University shall be the chief executive officer of the University with the powers inherent in that office including the defining of the duties and powers of subordinate officers except as the duties of such subordinate officers may be otherwise set forth in these bylaws or Board of Control Policy. Any grant of authority by the Board of Control to any University employee except to the Internal Auditor, Board of Control Secretary or Board of Control Treasurer may, by and at the discretion of the President, but with notice to the Board of Control be transferred to any other University employee subject to applicable collective bargaining agreements and Board of Control Tenure Policy.

The President is to serve as a means of communication between the faculty, staff, students and the Board of Control, and is to recommend from time to time such measures as the interests of the University demand.

The President is charged with and granted the authority of general management, supervision and oversight of the properties, assets, students, staff, faculty, and other officers of the institution. The President shall designate an individual to fulfill the duties of the office at those times the President is unavailable. In the absence of a Presidential designation or in the event of unavailability of all designees the Secretary of the Board of Control shall fulfill those duties.

The President, or the President's designated representative, is authorized to add individuals to the salaried and hourly payrolls, to establish their duties, to grant leaves of absence, to determine and negotiate salary, wages, bonuses, overload compensation, benefits (including, but not limited to, health insurance, life insurance, long-term disability insurance, retirement programs and retirement benefits) and other conditions of employment with duly authorized officers and/or agents of certified collective bargaining units and with non-represented employees, and to discontinue, suspend or terminate employment of any employee subject to the following conditions:

1. Sufficient funds must be available.

2. Rates of pay must be in accordance with general wage and salary classification policies of the institution.

3. Second term appointment of employees with tenurable rank must have approval of the Board.

4. Changes in duties, discontinuance of employment or decrease in pay may be accomplished only in accord with applicable rules, policies and agreements, including the academic tenure policy where applicable.

5. Reports of retirement, resignation, and off-payroll actions taken hereunder shall be submitted for the approval of the Board at each regular meeting.
The President shall semi-annually report to the Board on the status of all positions reporting directly to the President and all in the one echelon immediately below those reporting directly to the President.

The President or the President's designated representative is authorized to assess reasonable fines and penalties for failure to follow published rules, regulations and ordinances of the University unless a fine or penalty is set by the Board of Control or applicable law.

The President shall also have those further powers granted by Board of Control Policy.

**V-G-b. 5.2. Equal Opportunity**

It was moved by T. Baldini, supported by S. Hicks, and passed by voice vote without dissent, that the Board of Control amends policy 5.2. Equal Opportunity as presented herein.

The amended policy shall read as follows:

5.2. Equal Opportunity

In keeping with its responsibilities as an educational institution, Michigan Technological University is committed to a policy of affording equal opportunity to all of its employees, students, applicants for employment and applicants for admission without regard to race, religion, color, national origin, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, height, weight, genetic information, or marital status. The University is also committed to a policy of educating and employing disabled individuals and veterans without discrimination. These policies are to be implemented with due regard for the relative qualifications of all involved.

**V-G-c. 8.8. Assessment for Physical Education Complex**

It was moved by T. Baldini, supported by S. Hicks, and passed by voice vote without dissent, that the Board of Control rescinds Board of Control policy 8.8. Assessment for Physical Education Complex.

**V-G-d. 9.4. & 9.11. Michigan Technological University Leading Scholar Award**

It was moved by T. Baldini, supported by S. Hicks, and passed by voice vote without dissent, that the Board of Control amends policy 9.4. Michigan Technological University Leading Scholar Award as presented herein and rescinds policy 9.11. Michigan Technological University Leading Scholar Award.

The amended policy shall read as follows:

9.4. Michigan Technological University Leading Scholar Award
Open to first-time domestic freshmen displaying outstanding academic achievement, leadership, creative abilities and high potential for success at Michigan Technological University, for a four year period (8 semesters).

Awards are renewable for second, third, and fourth year students who maintain a cumulative grade point average of 3.25 as of the end of spring semester, acceptable social behavior, and continuous regular full-time undergraduate enrollment.

No replacements are made for students who withdraw.

Scholarship Committee considers requests for extensions of scholarships, but in no case would they be extended beyond 9 semesters. The 9 semesters would apply to those students required to attend a summer semester or who demonstrate extenuating circumstances. Michigan Residents:

Value: amount equivalent to commonly accepted educational expenses (i.e. regular full-time tuition charges, room, board, plus a $1,000 annual stipend).

Number available: 3 for each incoming freshman class.

Applicants must be residents of the State of Michigan.

Non-Michigan Residents:

Value: Up to regular non-resident full time tuition charges.
Number available: 3 for each incoming freshman class.
Students who are out of state residents will not be eligible for University scholarship programs (Alumni Legacy Award, National Scholar Award, Military Family Education Award, etc.) that reduces their out of state tuition charges in addition to the Leading Scholar Award.

V-G-e. 11.7. Policy Regarding Non-Mandatory Transfers

It was moved by T. Baldini, supported by S. Hicks, and passed by voice vote without dissent, that the Board of Control amends policy 11.7. Policy Regarding Non-Mandatory Transfers as presented herein.

The amended policy shall read as follows:

11.7. Policy Regarding Non-Mandatory Transfers

The Audit and Finance Committee of the Board of Control will review, as part of the review of the audited financial statements, all non-mandatory transfers in excess of $500,000 that have not been approved as part of the annual operating budget. Non-mandatory transfers from $25,000 to $200,000 shall be approved by the Treasurer, and non-mandatory transfers above $200,000 shall be approved by both the Treasurer and the President of the University.
VI. REPORTS

A. Michigan Tech Fund Report – Mr. George Butvilas, Chair
   A copy of Mr. Butvilas’ report was included in the agenda book.
B. University Senate Report – Dr. Rudy Luck, President
   A copy of Dr. Luck’s report was included in the agenda book.

VII. INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

A. Analysis of Investments
B. University Issued Bond Balances
C. Research and Sponsored Programs
D. Disposal of Surplus Property
E. Advancement Report
F. Recent Media Coverage

Mr. Woychowski was interested in receiving a report on a regular basis on the overall health and safety on campus including the students, faculty and staff. This report would indicate any health and safety issues and corrective actions. The Administration will discuss and recommend to the Board how to address Mr. Woychowski’s request. Topics would include the health and safety of our employees, are there any injuries at work, are there lost work day cases, and if so what corrective actions are in place to guard against those, and are there any issues relative to the on campus safety of students, faculty and staff. Mr. Woychowski is not exactly sure what form this information should take, but it is an element that he would like to see kept in the forefront. President Mroz would like an opportunity to think about how this could be addressed, and perhaps develop something for the informational items section of the agenda.

VIII. OTHER BUSINESS

There was no other business at this time.

IX. PUBLIC COMMENTS

There were no public comments at this time.

X. CLOSED SESSION FOR PERIODIC PERSONNEL EVALUATION OF PRESIDENT MROZ

It was moved by P. Ollila, supported by S. Hicks, and passed by voice vote without dissent, that the Board of Control proceed into closed session for a periodic personnel evaluation of President Mroz. (A closed session for such a purpose is provided for in Section 8 (a) of P.A. 267 of 1976). (A roll call vote is required).
Roll Call Vote:
Richardson – Yes
Ashford – Yes
Fream – Yes
Baldini – Yes
Hicks - Yes
Ollila - Yes
Clark - Yes
Woychowski - Yes

The motion passed.

The Board of Control reconvened in open session with a quorum present.

XI. ADJOURNMENT

It was moved by J. Fream, supported by L. Ashford, and passed by voice vote without dissent, that the meeting be adjourned.

_____________________________________________________
Secretary of the Board of Control

_____________________________________________________
Chair, Board of Control